

**Ad-Hoc Grant Clinical Incubator 2023** © *Clinical Incubator & ToMAP* **Version June, 7<sup>th</sup> 2023**

Cri- teria	Indicator	Explanation	Points 0	Points 1 till 2	Points 3	Points given	Weight Factor	Total Points
<b>1. Scientific Content &amp; Technology</b>								

**1.1. Medical need and expected impact on patients**

1.1.1.	<u>Unmet Medical/Technology Need</u> : Describe the current situation by addressing the points A-D.	(A) incidence/prevalence of targeted disease AND/OR widespread use of current technologies; how many alternatives of dominant current technology (if possible, give the percentage distribution) (B) the impact/burden of the target disease/technology on patient quality of life. (C) impact/burden for health care services (if possible, please state the amount in Euros) (D) the limitations of comparative interventions /current standard of care AND/OR the limitations of current technologies: efficacy, costs, requirements.	No specific description on all		Very specific description on all		1	0
1.1.2.	What is the expected impact of the project results with respect to in (1.1.1) mentioned aspects?	Describe the (expected) change/effect	None		Very large on all aspects		1	0
<b>Subtotal 1.1.</b>							<b>D</b>	<b>0</b>

**1.2. Novelty and Expected Outcomes**

1.2.1.	Describe the unique/ innovative aspects of the project and the current state-of-the-art. You may refer to your own and other researchers' work.	Describe what makes your approach special/unique and better than state-of-the-art (if available supported by clickable links in your presentation to max. 5 external references)	Low & Only own text		High & Very well explained & supporting publications		1	0
1.2.2.	What is the translational potential for future development of new diagnostics, technologies, and/or therapeutic or preventative procedures? What could be a product / outcome for the community (patient/industry/and/or scientific)?	Describe and if available support by adding clickable links in your presentation to max. 5 external references	Low & Only own text/unclear outcome		High & Very well explained & supporting publications/Clear outcome		1	0
1.2.3.	Please describe (if any) competing research from external parties and your competitive advantage	What do you know about academic and/or industry competitors? Please explain how a competitive advantage can be maintained (e.g. through patents, know-how, unique data etc.)	A lot of competing research		Hard anything/none competing research		2	0
<b>Subtotal 1.2.</b>							<b>E</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>1.3. Project Status, robustness</b>									
1.3.1.	Project Specific: Please describe the work in the progress so far	Content, methods, preliminary work	No supporting material			Very well supported/Just a great idea!		1	0
1.3.2.	Robustness of Data: Describe the following statistical aspects of the underlying data: (a) repetition, (b) independent confirmation, (c) quality of the data		No explanation/No Data			Fully described/External validated		0,5	0
1.3.3.	Please describe your (scientific) strategy concerning gender aspects (human), sex as a biological variable (cells, animals, humans), ethnicity and other relevant aspects.		Not/bad explained/No data/not applicable but not explained			Clearly explained supported by data		0,5	0
							<b>Subtotal 1.3.</b>	<b>F</b>	<b>0</b>
							<b>Subtotal 1</b>	<b>(D+E+F=)</b>	<b>0</b>
							<b>Corrected Mean</b>	<b>CM1</b>	<b>0</b>

Criteria	Indicator	Explanation				A	B	C = A x B																																																																																																		
			Points	Points	Points	Points given	Weight Factor	Total Points																																																																																																		
			0	1 till 2	3																																																																																																					
<b>2. Team Building &amp; Project Management &amp; Implementation</b>																																																																																																										
<b>2.1. Competence &amp; composition the research team</b>																																																																																																										
2.1.1.	Describe the distribution of tasks between team members: Are all relevant expertise/competences available?	How are time (relatively) and task/expertise divided over team members. If a competence is missing or critical: how do you go forward?	Very high effort/badly supported			Low Effort/Very well substantiated	1	0																																																																																																		
						<b>Subtotal 2.1.</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>0</b>																																																																																																		
<b>2.2. Work plan and resources (including budget)</b>																																																																																																										
2.2.1.	1) Provide a general overview of the work packages/tasks of the whole project. You are encouraged to use a Gantt chart. 2) Mark the completed tasks in green. 3) Mark the task for which you request funding in red.	<p><b>Gantt chart example</b></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Work packages/Task Title</th> <th colspan="4">Year 1</th> <th colspan="4">Year 2</th> </tr> <tr> <th>Q1</th> <th>Q2</th> <th>Q3</th> <th>Q4</th> <th>Q1</th> <th>Q2</th> <th>Q3</th> <th>Q4</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>WP1: (lead and contributors)</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Objective 1:</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Task 1.1: (persons responsible)</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Task 1.2:</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Objective 2:</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Task 1.3:</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Task 1.4:</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Deliverables and Milestones</td> <td></td><td>D1.1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>M1</td><td>M2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>WP2: etc.</td> <td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Work packages/Task Title	Year 1				Year 2				Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	WP1: (lead and contributors)									Objective 1:									Task 1.1: (persons responsible)									Task 1.2:									Objective 2:									Task 1.3:									Task 1.4:									Deliverables and Milestones		D1.1					M1	M2	WP2: etc.									Bad performance in terms of progress, milestone achievement. Insufficiently explained			Excellent performance/very well explained Gantt and well substantiated	3	0
Work packages/Task Title	Year 1				Year 2																																																																																																					
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4																																																																																																		
WP1: (lead and contributors)																																																																																																										
Objective 1:																																																																																																										
Task 1.1: (persons responsible)																																																																																																										
Task 1.2:																																																																																																										
Objective 2:																																																																																																										
Task 1.3:																																																																																																										
Task 1.4:																																																																																																										
Deliverables and Milestones		D1.1					M1	M2																																																																																																		
WP2: etc.																																																																																																										
2.2.2.	Specify the budget requested. Please use the budget plan from the application form your presentation.	Please also discuss the effectiveness of the funding in terms of time and money, e.g. why the goal cannot be reached with less money/already available funds/shorter time	big uncertainty of availability/insufficient explanation/bad breakdown			Very realistic demand/Good breakdown	3	0																																																																																																		
						<b>Subtotal 2.2.</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>0</b>																																																																																																		
<b>2.3. Document and data management</b>																																																																																																										
2.3.1.	Describe the organisation of the data management for the following aspects: (A) control/administer, (B) administration, (C) accessibility and (D) data protection according to EU-regulations (see General Data Protection Regulation - GDPR)	Take into consideration that data management differs for the different product pipelines and/or the presence of sensitive patient data. For the GDPR principles you need to declare (a) that you will follow the principles and (b) how you organise that. The principles are: ((1) lawfulness, fairness and transparency; (2) purpose limitation; (3) data minimisation; (4) accuracy; (5) storage limitation; (6) integrity and confidentiality; (7) accountability).	No explanation/Bad organised			Fully in control/Good explanation	1	0																																																																																																		
						<b>Subtotal 2.3.</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>0</b>																																																																																																		
						<b>Subtotal 2</b>	<b>G+H+I=</b>	<b>0</b>																																																																																																		
						<b>Corrected Mean</b>	<b>CM2</b>	<b>0</b>																																																																																																		

Criteria	Indicator	Explanation				A	B	C = A x B
			Points	Points	Points	Points given	Weight Factor	Total Points
			0	1 till 2	3			
<b>3. Impact of the requested funding</b>								
<b>3.1. Potential Impact</b>								
3.1.1.	Please explain what led to the need for an ad-hoc funding. Examples (non-exhaustive list): 1. Did you encounter an unforeseeable roadblock in the project? 2. are you planning to apply to an upcoming funding opportunity and the funding can substantially increase your success chances		Unclear and badly explained need, lack of understanding what the problems are, bad planning.			Well explained, hurdles and/or opportunities well explained and substantiated	4	0
3.1.2.	Please explain in length to which extent funding will boost your project.		Impact not clear, funding unjustified/ineffective			Very clear and good plan, funding can majorly contribute to advancing the project	4	0
						<b>Subtotal 3.1.</b>	K	0
						<b>Subtotal 3</b>	K	0
						<b>Corrected Mean</b>	CM3	0
						<b>General Total</b>	X	0
						<b>General Total corrected Mean</b>	CM(1+2+3)	0